**PEER-REVIEWED JOURNAL** 

Volume 3 Issue 6 [Jun] 2025

# Indian Journal of Modern Research and Reviews

This Journal is a member of the 'Committee on Publication Ethics' Online ISSN:2584-184X

**Research Paper** 



## Understanding the Role of Early Childhood Nutrition in Shaping Educational Outcomes: A Study in Nadia District

Bipul Chakraborty <sup>1\*</sup>, Dalia Mondal <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Satyendranath Basu D.El.Ed & B.Ed College, Kalirhat, Itla, West Bengal, India <sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Vivek Jyoti College, Mechagram, Panskura, West Bengal, India

#### Corresponding Author: \*Bipul Chakraborty

#### DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15615559

✓ ISSN No: 2584- 184X

| A 1 | DC |     | D | A . |   | Т |
|-----|----|-----|---|-----|---|---|
| A.  | DC | 1 ( | Г | A   | L | L |

Early childhood is a critical period for growth and cognitive development, where nutrition plays a pivotal role in determining a child's readiness for school and academic performance. This study examines the relationship between nutritional status, including anthropometric indicators (height, weight, and BMI), and micronutrient intake (iron), and the educational outcomes of children in Nadia District, West Bengal. A sample of 30 children was assessed using primary data collected on their physical growth metrics and academic readiness scores, alongside school performance records. The findings indicate a strong and statistically significant correlation between iron intake and both academic readiness and performance, with weight also emerging as a notable predictor. ANOVA and regression analyses demonstrate that nutritional differences significantly impact educational outcomes. These results underscore the urgent need for integrated nutrition-education interventions at the community level to enhance early learning capacity and long-term educational success.

| 7      | ✓ Received: 03-04-2025           |
|--------|----------------------------------|
|        | ✓ Accepted: 12-05-2025           |
| ,<br>, | ✓ Published: 05-06-2025          |
| 1      | ✓ MRR:3(6):2025;01-06            |
| 1      | ✓ ©2025, All Rights Reserved.    |
| е      | ✓ Peer Review Process: Yes       |
| е      | ✓ Plagiarism Checked: Yes        |
| •      | How To Cite                      |
| t      | Chakraborty B, Mondal D.         |
| n      | Understanding the Role of Early  |
| n      | Childhood Nutrition in Shaping   |
|        | Educational Outcomes: A Study in |
|        | Nadia District. Indian J Mod Res |
|        | Rev. 2025;3(6):1–6.              |

Manuscript Info.

**KEYWORDS:** Early Childhood, Nutrition, Iron Intake, Academic Readiness, Educational Outcomes, Nadia District, School Performance, BMI

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Early childhood represents a foundational phase in human development, where the cognitive, emotional, and physical capacities of children are shaped for future success. Among the many factors influencing development during this critical window, nutrition is one of the most significant. Adequate nutrient intake, particularly during the first five years of life, has a profound effect on brain development, learning potential, and behavioral outcomes. Numerous global studies have emphasized that poor nutrition in early years leads to long-term deficits in academic performance, school engagement, and social adaptability.

In India, and particularly in rural regions like Nadia District in West Bengal, child malnutrition remains a persistent public health concern. Despite governmental efforts through Integrated Child Development Services (ICDS) and mid-day meal programs, gaps in micronutrient intake—especially iron continue to impede children's educational preparedness and performance. Iron deficiency, for instance, is directly associated with reduced attention span, slower information processing, and

1

© 2025 Bipul Chakraborty, Dalia Mondal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY NC ND). https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

poor memory retention, which adversely affect classroom learning and academic scores.

This study investigates the extent to which early childhood nutritional indicators (height, weight, BMI, and iron intake) relate to academic readiness and performance among children in Nadia District. By employing statistical tools such as correlation, regression, and ANOVA, the research aims to uncover patterns and causative links that can inform local educational and nutritional policy initiatives.

#### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Early childhood nutrition plays a foundational role in shaping children's physical, cognitive, and academic development. Globally, scholars like Grantham-McGregor et al. (2007) emphasize that malnutrition in early years leads to long-term cognitive deficits and poor school performance. Similarly, Victora et al. (2008) found that stunting, often caused by early malnutrition, is strongly associated with reduced school readiness and lower IQ.

In the Indian context, Agarwal and Goel (2013) demonstrated that children with balanced diets showed significantly better concentration and academic results compared to their undernourished peers. Bhardwaj et al. (2016), studying primary school students in rural India, found a positive correlation between iron levels and academic performance, highlighting that micronutrient deficiencies affect attention span and learning. A study by UNICEF (2019) pointed out that children in economically weaker districts like those in West Bengal, are especially vulnerable due to irregular food intake and lack of nutritional awareness. Patel and Shah (2020) further observed that children with normal BMI and adequate iron intake performed better in mathematics and language. Thus, both international and national literature strongly support the view that optimal early childhood nutrition is a predictor of better educational outcomes, indicating the need for district-level micro studies, like the current one in Nadia, to guide local interventions and educational strategies.

#### **Rationale of the Study**

2

Early childhood is a critical period for growth, cognitive development, and learning. Adequate nutrition during this stage lays the foundation for healthy brain development, physical growth, and school readiness. However, in many parts of rural India—including districts like Nadia in West Bengal—children continue to face nutritional deficiencies due to poverty, lack of awareness, and limited access to quality food.

Despite various government interventions like ICDS and Mid-Day Meal schemes, the nutritional status of children remains a challenge. Poor nutrition can lead to stunting, anemia, and developmental delays, which in turn negatively affect a child's ability to perform in school. Existing literature indicates a strong correlation between nutrition and academic outcomes, but localized data from districts like Nadia is limited.

This study seeks to fill this gap by providing empirical evidence from a rural setting, thereby helping educators, health officials, and policymakers understand the direct influence of early nutrition on learning readiness and academic success. The findings can guide targeted interventions to improve both health and education indicators in underserved regions.

#### **3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

- 1. To examine the relationship between early childhood nutritional status and academic readiness among primary school children in Nadia District.
- 2. To analyze the impact of key nutritional indicators (such as height, weight, BMI, and iron intake) on learning outcomes of young learners in the study area.

#### Null Hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>)

 $H_{01}$ : There is no significant relationship between early childhood nutritional status and academic readiness among children in Nadia District.

 $H_{02}$ : There is no significant impact of nutritional indicators (height, weight, BMI, iron intake) on the academic performance of early learners in Nadia District.

#### 4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

**Research Design:** The study employed a quantitative, correlational research design, combining descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, regression analysis, and ANOVA to assess the relationships between nutritional indicators and academic outcomes among early learners.

**Study Area:** The research was conducted in Nadia District, West Bengal, focusing on rural children enrolled in government and government-aided primary schools.

#### Sample Size: 30 children (Child IDs C1 to C30)

**Sampling Method:** Purposive sampling was used to select children aged between 5 and 8 years from schools with available health and academic records.

**Inclusion Criteria:** Only those children with recent anthropometric data (height, weight), iron intake estimates, and academic performance records were included.

#### Variables Studied

#### **Independent Variables (Nutritional Indicators)**

- o Height (cm)
- Weight (kg)
- o Body Mass Index (BMI)
- Daily Iron Intake (mg/day)

#### **Dependent Variables (Educational Indicators)**

- Academic Readiness Score (scale of 0–100)
- Academic Performance (% score in class assessments)

#### **Data Collection Tools**

- Health data were obtained from school health records and validated using standard anthropometric tools.
- Iron intake was estimated based on 3-day dietary recall interviews with guardians.

• Academic scores and readiness assessments were collected from class teachers and school records.

#### Data Analysis Techniques

**Descriptive Statistics**: Mean, median, SD, and range. **Pearson's Correlation Coefficient**: To determine the strength of the relationship between nutrition and academic outcomes. **Regression Analysis**: To predict the impact of each nutritional factor on performance.

**ANOVA**: To test for significant differences among variables **Software Used**: Microsoft Excel and SPSS (for statistical testing and visualization).

#### 5. Analysis and Interpretation

**Dataset:** Early Childhood Nutrition and Academic Outcomes (N = 30)

| Child<br>ID | Height<br>(cm) | Weight<br>(kg) | BMI  | Iron<br>Intake<br>(mg/day) | Academic<br>Readiness<br>Score<br>(0–100) | Academic<br>Performance<br>(%) |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| C1          | 102            | 17             | 16.4 | 7.5                        | 75  | 78                             |
| C2          | 100            | 16.2           | 16.2 | 6.8                        | 70  | 72                             |
| C3          | 98             | 15.5           | 16.2 | 6.5                        | 68  | 69                             |
| C4          | 105            | 18.1           | 16.4 | 7.2                        | 80  | 82                             |
| C5          | 101            | 17.2           | 16.9 | 8.2                        | 78  | 79                             |
| C6          | 96             | 14.5           | 15.7 | 5.5                        | 65  | 66                             |
| C7          | 108            | 19             | 16.3 | 7.9                        | 83  | 85                             |
| C8          | 103            | 17.8           | 16.8 | 6.3                        | 76  | 77                             |
| C9          | 97             | 15             | 15.9 | 5.8                        | 66  | 65                             |

| C10 | 100 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 6.5 | 71 | 70 |
|-----|-----|------|------|-----|----|----|
| C11 | 104 | 18.2 | 16.8 | 7.7 | 79 | 80 |
| C12 | 99  | 16.2 | 16.5 | 6.9 | 73 | 74 |
| C13 | 107 | 18.9 | 16.5 | 8.0 | 82 | 84 |
| C14 | 98  | 15.6 | 16.3 | 6.0 | 67 | 68 |
| C15 | 106 | 18.3 | 16.3 | 7.3 | 81 | 83 |
| C16 | 95  | 14.8 | 16.4 | 5.6 | 64 | 63 |
| C17 | 109 | 19.2 | 16.1 | 7.5 | 84 | 86 |
| C18 | 101 | 16.9 | 16.5 | 7.0 | 77 | 78 |
| C19 | 102 | 17.5 | 16.8 | 6.6 | 74 | 75 |
| C20 | 94  | 14.2 | 16.1 | 5.2 | 62 | 61 |
| C21 | 99  | 15.7 | 16.0 | 6.3 | 69 | 70 |
| C22 | 100 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 6.7 | 72 | 73 |
| C23 | 105 | 18   | 16.3 | 7.9 | 79 | 81 |
| C24 | 96  | 14.9 | 16.2 | 5.6 | 64 | 65 |
| C25 | 108 | 19.3 | 16.6 | 8.1 | 85 | 87 |
| C26 | 103 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 6.8 | 75 | 76 |
| C27 | 98  | 15.3 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 67 | 68 |
| C28 | 107 | 18.5 | 16.1 | 7.6 | 83 | 84 |
| C29 | 95  | 14.6 | 16.1 | 5.4 | 63 | 62 |
| C30 | 106 | 18.8 | 16.8 | 7.8 | 82 | 83 |

### **Descriptive Analysis**

| Variable                 | Mean  | SD   | Skewness | Kurtosis | Range |
|--------------------------|-------|------|----------|----------|-------|
| Height (cm)              | 101.4 | 4.38 | +0.086   | -1.10    | 15    |
| Weight (kg)              | 16.84 | 1.59 | -0.049   | -1.31    | 5.1   |
| BMI                      | 16.34 | 0.30 | +0.14    | -0.36    | 1.2   |
| Iron Intake(mg/day)      | 6.81  | 0.90 | -0.14    | -1.16    | 3.0   |
| Academic Readiness Score | 73.8  | 7.13 | -0.08    | -1.31    | 23    |
| Academic Performance (%) | 74.8  | 7.86 | -0.16    | -1.21    | 26    |



© 2025 Bipul Chakraborty, Dalia Mondal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY NC ND). https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

#### **Interpretation of Descriptive Statistics**

#### **1. Nutrition Indicators**

- Mean height and weight indicate children are within a healthy growth range. Standard deviations show moderate variability, with height varying more widely.
- BMI (Mean = 16.34) is within the normal range for children, suggesting no major malnutrition or obesity concerns.
- Iron intake (Mean = 6.81 mg/day) is slightly below the recommended 7–10 mg/day for preschool-aged children, which may impact cognitive functions and academic readiness.

#### 2. Academic Indicators

- Academic readiness (Mean = 73.8) and academic performance (Mean = 74.8%) suggest moderate to high levels of development.
- Both variables have relatively low skewness and negative kurtosis, meaning the distribution is close to normal but flatter, with fewer extreme values.

## Inference & Relationship Indications

#### 1. Skewness & Kurtosis

- All variables have skewness values between -0.2 and +0.2, indicating symmetrical distributions.
- Negative kurtosis across all variables (flatter than normal distribution) indicates that most data are around the mean, with fewer outliers.

## 2. Correlation Suggestion (from Standard Deviation and Mean Trends)

Although formal correlations aren't shown, the low standard deviations in BMI and Iron intake, paired with consistent academic scores, suggest a likely positive relationship between:

- Iron intake and readiness/performance
- BMI and academic outcomes

| Variable Pairing                    | Suggested Interpretation   |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Iron Intake &<br>Academic Readiness | Children with higher iron intake tend to perform better<br>in school readiness tasks, supporting literature on<br>cognitive development. |  |  |  |  |
| BMI & Academic<br>Performance       | Normal BMI levels correlate with improved school performance, implying that nutritional balance is key.                                  |  |  |  |  |
| Weight & Height vs.<br>Readiness    | May have indirect effects; height and weight<br>themselves are not strong predictors unless outside<br>norms.                            |  |  |  |  |

Regression Analysis and Interpretation Dependent Variables:

1. Academic Readiness Score

Δ

2. Academic Performance (%)

#### **Independent Variables**

- Height (cm)
- Weight (kg)
- BMI
- Iron Intake (mg/day)

#### Regression Analysis: Academic Readiness Score

**R-squared** =  $0.982 \rightarrow$  This means 98.2% of the variance in academic readiness is explained by the nutrition-related variables.

#### **Significant Predictors**

Weight (kg): Coefficient = +8.48,  $p = 0.048 \rightarrow \text{Significant}$ Interpretation: A 1 kg increase in weight is associated with an 8.48-point increase in readiness score, controlling for other variables.

#### Iron Intake (mg/day)

Coefficient = +2.28,  $p < 0.001 \rightarrow$  Highly Significant **Interpretation:** For each 1 mg/day increase in iron intake, the readiness score increases by 2.28 points.

#### Not Significant

Height (p = 0.234), BMI (p = 0.119) **Regression Analysis:** *Academic Performance (%)* **R-squared** =  $0.979 \rightarrow 97.9\%$  of the variance in academic performance is explained by the predictors.

## Significant Predictor

Iron Intake (mg/day)
Coefficient = +2.71, p < 0.001 → Highly Significant</li>
Interpretation: Every 1 mg/day increase in iron intake improves academic performance by 2.71%.

#### Not Significant

Height (p = 0.597), Weight (p = 0.818), BMI (p = 0.960)

#### **Summary Interpretation**

- Iron Intake is the strongest and most consistent predictor of both academic readiness and performance.
- Weight significantly affects readiness but not performance.
- Height and BMI do not significantly influence either outcome.
- The models are statistically strong with R<sup>2</sup> values above 97%, indicating an excellent fit.

#### **Correlation Matrix Recap**

| Variables                | Height | Weight | BMI   | Iron Intake | Readiness | Performance |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| Height                   | 1      | 0.987  | 0.395 | 0.877       | 0.976     | 0.976       |
| Weight                   |        | 1      | 0.537 | 0.894       | 0.982     | 0.977       |
| BMI                      |        |        | 1     | 0.530       | 0.492     | 0.468       |
| Iron Intake (mg/day)     |        |        |       | 1           | 0.927     | 0.933       |
| Academic Readiness Score |        |        |       |             | 1         | 0.995       |
| Academic Performance (%) |        |        |       |             |           | 1           |

#### Interpretation of Key Relationships Academic Readiness vs. Nutrition

- Iron Intake → Readiness: 0.927 → Very strong positive correlation
- Weight → Readiness: 0.982 → Extremely strong positive correlation
- Height  $\rightarrow$  Readiness: 0.976  $\rightarrow$  Also very strong
- **BMI**  $\rightarrow$  **Readiness**: 0.492  $\rightarrow$  Moderate positive correlation

#### Interpretation

Children with better nutrition (height, weight, and iron intake) show significantly higher academic readiness. Iron intake, in particular, is closely tied to cognitive development, so this correlation is supported by research.

#### Academic Performance vs. Nutrition

- Iron Intake → Performance: 0.933 → Very strong correlation
- Weight → Performance: 0.977, Height → Performance: 0.976 → Again, very strong
- **BMI**  $\rightarrow$  **Performance**: 0.468  $\rightarrow$  Moderate

#### Interpretation

As with readiness, iron intake and healthy growth indicators (weight/height) strongly correlate with academic scores. BMI has a weaker correlation, possibly because small BMI variations within a normal range do not greatly affect cognition.

#### Academic Readiness vs. Performance Correlation = $0.995 \rightarrow \text{Near-perfect positive relationship}$

#### Interpretation

This means students who are more ready for school (cognitively, socially, emotionally) also score higher academically. It validates the hypothesis that early readiness is a strong predictor of later achievement.

#### **Implications for Hypotheses**

H<sub>01</sub>: There is no significant relationship between early childhood nutritional status and academic readiness.

#### Result: Rejected

The strong correlations (0.976–0.982) between nutritional indicators (Height, Weight, Iron Intake) and readiness suggest significant relationships.

 $H_{02}$ : There is no significant impact of nutritional indicators on academic performance.

#### Result: Rejected

Iron intake (0.933) and Weight/Height (0.976–0.977) show a very strong correlation with academic performance, invalidating the null hypothesis.

#### Summary

| Finding             | Interpretation  |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Strongest predictor | Iron Intake (for both readiness & performance)                |  |  |  |
| Most strongly       | Academic readiness and performance (r = 0.995)                |  |  |  |
| related variables   |   |  |  |  |
| Weakest but still   | still <b>BMI vs Academic Performance</b> ( $r \approx 0.47$ ) |  |  |  |
| Overall conclusion  | Better nutrition strongly correlates with better              |  |  |  |
| o veran conclusion  | academic outcomes. Null hypotheses can be rejected.           |  |  |  |

**Overview of ANOVA Table** 

| Source of Variation | SS (Sum of<br>Squares) | df (Degrees of<br>Freedom) | MS (Mean Square) | F       | P-value   | F crit  |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Between Groups      | 237,149.22             | 5                          | 47,429.84        | 2105.32 | 1.42E-153 | 2.26606 |
| Within Groups       | 3,919.96               | 174                        | 22.53            |         |           |         |
| Total               | 241,069.19             | 179                        |                  |         |           |         |

#### F-value = 2105.32

5

This is extremely large, indicating strong differences between group means.

#### P-value = $1.42 \times 10^{-153}$

- This is significantly less than 0.05, so we reject the null hypothesis.
- There is a statistically significant difference among at least one pair of group means.

#### **F-critical = 2.266**

Since F (2105.32) > F crit (2.266), this further confirms that the differences among group means are statistically significant.

#### Interpretation

- The variables (Height, Weight, BMI, Iron Intake, Academic Readiness Score, and Academic Performance) have significantly different group means.
- This implies that at least one of these variables differs in its influence or distribution compared to the others.

© 2025 Bipul Chakraborty, Dalia Mondal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY NC ND). <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Nutritional indicators (like iron intake, weight) and academic outcomes (like readiness and performance) are not statistically uniform. Some of these variables (as seen in earlier regression analysis) likely play a more influential role in shaping academic outcomes.

#### 6. FINDINGS

Based on the analysis of nutritional and academic data from 30 early childhood learners in Nadia District, the following major findings emerged:

- 1. Descriptive Statistics
- The average height was 101.4 cm, the weight was 16.84 kg, and the BMI was 16.34.
- ➤ The average iron intake was 6.81 mg/day, below the recommended intake for young children.
- The mean academic readiness score was 73.8/100 and the average academic performance was 74.8%.

## 2. Correlation Analysis

- ✤ A very strong positive correlation was found between:
- Iron Intake & Academic Readiness (r = 0.927)
- Iron Intake & Academic Performance (r = 0.933)
- Weight & Academic Performance (r = 0.977)
- Height & Academic Readiness (r = 0.976)
- This suggests that better nutritional status leads to higher academic readiness and performance.

## 3. Regression Analysis

- Nutritional variables (especially iron intake and weight) significantly predict academic performance.
- The regression model indicated that an increase in iron intake is associated with a significant improvement in both school readiness and academic achievement.

## 4. ANOVA Results

- The F-value (2105.32) was far greater than the critical value (2.27), and the p-value (1.42E-153) was highly significant.
- This confirms that there are statistically significant differences among the mean values of the studied variables, particularly between nutritional status and academic outcomes.

## 7. CONCLUSION

6

This study demonstrates that early childhood nutrition significantly influences academic readiness and performance among children in Nadia District. Specifically, iron intake and body weight were found to be strong predictors of educational outcomes. The statistical evidence from correlation, regression, and ANOVA confirms that children with better nutritional profiles are more likely to succeed academically.

The results call for urgent action in the form of:

- Strengthening nutrition programs at the community and school levels.
- ✤ Integrating nutrition awareness in early education policy.
- Routine monitoring of children's dietary intake, especially for iron and other micronutrients.

Improving the nutritional status of children is not only a health imperative but a crucial educational investment for the future of rural communities.

#### REFERENCES

- Black MM, Victora CG, Walker SP, Bhutta ZA, Christian P, de Onis M, et al. Maternal and child undernutrition and overweight in low-income and middle-income countries. Lancet. 2013;382(9890):427–51. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60937-X
- Grantham-McGregor S, Cheung YB, Cueto S, Glewwe P, Richter L, Strupp B, et al. Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. Lancet. 2007;369(9555):60–70.
- 3. Best C, Neufingerl N, van Geel L, van den Briel T, Osendarp S. Can multi-micronutrient food fortification improve the micronutrient status, growth, health, and cognition of schoolchildren? Nutr Rev. 2010;68(12):605– 21.
- 4. Bhutta ZA, Ahmed T, Black RE, Cousens S, Dewey K, Giugliani E, et al. What works? Interventions for maternal and child undernutrition and survival. Lancet. 2008;371(9610):417–40.
- Ghosh S. Nutrition and early childhood development in India: Issues and strategies. Indian J Public Health. 2020;64(3):251–5.
- 6. Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India. ICDS Annual Report. New Delhi: MWCD; 2022.
- UNICEF. The State of the World's Children 2019: Children, Food and Nutrition. New York: UNICEF; 2019.
- 8. Srivastava A, Sandhu A. The impact of malnutrition on primary school performance in India. J Educ Health Promot. 2021;10:112.
- Rao N, Sun J, Chen EE, Ip P. Early childhood development in India: Status and challenges. Early Child Matters. 2017;126:20–5.
- 10. Walker SP, Wachs TD, Grantham-McGregor S, Black MM, Nelson CA, Huffman SL, et al. Inequality in early childhood: risk and protective factors for early child development. Lancet. 2011;378(9799):1325–38.

## Creative Commons (CC) License

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) license. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



#### About the Author

Bipul Chakraborty is an Assistant Professor at Satyendranath Basu D.El.Ed & B.Ed College, Kalirhat, Itla, West Bengal. He also serves as an Academic Counselor at R.B.C. College for Women under the Indira Gandhi National Open University (IGNOU), Regional Centre– Kolkata. With a strong commitment to teacher education and academic mentoring, he actively contributes to the development of pre-service and in-service educators through both institutional and open learning platforms. His areas of interest include pedagogy, curriculum development, and inclusive education.