

Indian Journal of Modern Research and Reviews

This Journal is a member of the '**Committee on Publication Ethics**'

Online ISSN:2584-184X



Review Article

The Role of an Inclusive Teacher in Modern Higher Education: Significance and Challenges

Shikha Choudhary *

Assistant Professor, Department of Education (B.Ed.)

Ginni Devi Modi Institute of Education, Hapur Road, Modinagar, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: *Shikha Choudhary

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18372605>

Abstract

The landscape of higher education has undergone a profound transformation, characterised by increasing student diversity in terms of ability, race, ethnicity, socio-economic background, culture, gender identity, and neurodiversity. In response, the concept of inclusive education has moved from the periphery to the core of pedagogical discourse. This paper examines the evolving role of the "inclusive teacher" in modern universities. It argues that the inclusive teacher is not merely an implementer of accommodations but a transformative agent who designs learning environments where all students have equitable opportunities to succeed. The paper delineates the significance of this role, highlighting its impact on student engagement, academic achievement, social justice, and the preparation of graduates for a diverse world. It then systematically analyses the multifaceted challenges inclusive teachers face, including insufficient institutional support, the limitations of traditional curricula and assessment, lack of comprehensive training, and the inherent tensions in addressing diverse and sometimes conflicting needs. The paper concludes by proposing a holistic framework for supporting inclusive teachers, emphasising the need for institutional commitment, continuous professional development, and a shift from individual heroism to a shared culture of inclusion.

Manuscript Information

- ISSN No: 2584-184X
- Received: 12-12-2025
- Accepted: 21-01-2026
- Published: 26-01-2026
- IJCRM:4(1); 2026: 196-198
- ©2026, All Rights Reserved
- Plagiarism Checked: Yes
- Peer Review Process: Yes

How to Cite this Article

Choudhary S. The Role of an Inclusive Teacher in Modern Higher Education: Significance and Challenges. Indian J Mod Res Rev. 2026;4(1):196-198.

Access this Article Online



www.multiarticlesjournal.com

KEYWORDS: Inclusive Education, Higher Education Pedagogy, Universal Design for Learning (UDL), Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI), Teacher Identity, Differentiated Instruction, Institutional Barriers, Student Diversity, Social Justice.

1. INTRODUCTION

Modern higher education institutions (HEIs) are microcosms of global society, enrolling students from vastly different backgrounds. This diversity, while a tremendous asset, exposes the limitations of the traditional, one-size-fits-all pedagogical model. Legislative frameworks like the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and social justice movements have catalysed a shift towards inclusion—a philosophy that goes beyond physical access or retrofitted accommodations. Inclusion advocates for the active, authentic, and full participation of all students in the learning community (Morina, 2017). At the heart of this paradigm shift is the inclusive teacher. This role transcends the conventional image of a subject-matter expert. An inclusive teacher is a facilitator, designer, and advocate who intentionally cultivates a learning environment where every student feels valued, respected, and challenged. This paper explores the significance of this evolved role and interrogates the substantial challenges that accompany its implementation. By synthesising current literature and theoretical perspectives, it aims to provide a comprehensive overview essential for educators, administrators, and policymakers committed to realising equitable higher education.

2. The Significance of the Inclusive Teacher

The inclusive teacher plays a critical role in achieving the core missions of modern universities: excellence, innovation, and equity.

2.1 Fostering Equitable Access and Participation

Inclusive teachers operationalise equity by implementing frameworks like Universal Design for Learning (UDL) (CAST, 2018). By providing multiple means of engagement, representation, and action/expression at the curriculum design stage, they proactively reduce barriers to learning. This benefits not only students with documented disabilities but also English language learners, first-generation students, and those with diverse learning preferences, creating a more level playing field.

2.2 Enhancing Learning Outcomes for All

Research indicates that inclusive practices improve academic outcomes across the student body (Black et al., 2023). Collaborative learning, varied assessment methods, and multimodal instruction, championed by inclusive teachers, cater to a wider range of cognitive strengths and deepen understanding for all students, moving beyond the "myth of the average learner."

2.3 Cultivating a Sense of Belonging and Well-being

An inclusive teacher actively builds a classroom climate of psychological safety and respect. By validating diverse perspectives, using inclusive language, and modelling empathetic interaction, they foster a sense of belonging—a key predictor of student retention, motivation, and mental well-being (Strayhorn, 2018).

2.4 Advancing Social Justice and Critical Citizenship

The inclusive classroom is a site for democratic practice. Teachers who intentionally integrate diverse authors, case studies, and worldviews challenge dominant narratives and

empower students to think critically about power, privilege, and inequality. This prepares graduates to engage ethically and effectively in a pluralistic society.

2.5 Driving Pedagogical Innovation

The necessity of meeting diverse needs pushes inclusive teachers to experiment with new technologies, active learning strategies, and alternative assessments. This spirit of innovation often leads to improved teaching practices that reinvigorate the academic experience for everyone.

3. Key Challenges Facing the Inclusive Teacher

Despite its significance, the enactment of inclusive teaching is fraught with systemic and practical challenges.

3.1 Institutional and Structural Barriers

- **Lack of Resources and Support:** Inclusive teaching is labour-intensive. Teachers often lack adequate time, teaching assistants, or funding for materials. Disability support offices may be overburdened, placing additional liaison and design burdens on the teacher.
- **Insufficient Incentives:** In research-intensive universities, time spent on innovative, inclusive pedagogy is often not adequately recognised in tenure, promotion, or workload models, disincentivising deep engagement.
- **Large Class Sizes:** Massified higher education makes personalised understanding and interaction incredibly difficult, undermining core principles of inclusion.

3.2 Curriculum and Assessment Rigidity

- **Disciplinary Traditions:** Long-standing curricula and standardised assessments (e.g., high-stakes exams) can be resistant to modification in the name of "maintaining standards," creating tension with flexible, UDL-aligned approaches.
- **Lack of Inclusive Content:** Curricula may remain Eurocentric, male-dominated, or otherwise exclusionary, requiring significant independent effort from teachers to diversify.

3.3 Limitations in Teacher Preparation and Development

- **Inadequate Initial Training:** Many faculty, especially in STEM fields, receive little to no formal pedagogical training, let alone specialised training in inclusion, UDL, or disability awareness.
- **Fragmented Professional Development:** Workshops are often one-off events rather than sustained, discipline-specific communities of practice. This leaves teachers without ongoing implementation support.

3.4 Navigating Complexity and Conflict

- **Balancing Diverse Needs:** Addressing the sometimes-conflicting needs of students (e.g., a quiet environment for some versus lively debate for others) requires sophisticated classroom management and negotiation skills.
- **Managing Identity and Bias:** Teachers must engage in constant self-reflection to recognise their own implicit biases and positionality, which can unconsciously influence their interactions and expectations.
- **Resistance from Students and Peers:** Some students may perceive inclusive practices as "lowering standards."

Colleagues may be sceptical or dismissive, leading to professional isolation for the inclusive teacher.

4. Towards a Supportive Framework: Recommendations

Supporting the inclusive teacher requires moving beyond individual responsibility to a whole-institution approach.

1. Institutional Commitment: University leadership must explicitly value inclusive teaching in strategic plans, mission statements, and—critically—in faculty reward structures (tenure, promotion, merit).
2. Comprehensive, Embedded Professional Development: Move from workshops to sustained learning communities, mentoring programs, and discipline-based curriculum redesign projects with dedicated funding and time.
3. Resource Investment: Hire more instructional designers, support staff, and teaching assistants. Provide grants for inclusive curriculum development and accessible classroom technologies.
4. Policy Alignment: Review and revise academic policies on assessment, attendance, and participation through an equity lens to support flexible and inclusive practices.
5. Foster Communities of Practice: Create formal and informal spaces where inclusive teachers can share successes, troubleshoot challenges, and build collective efficacy.

5. CONCLUSION

The role of the inclusive teacher is pivotal to the future relevance and integrity of higher education. Such teachers are catalysts for equity, innovators in pedagogy, and architects of learning communities where diversity is not merely managed but harnessed as an educational strength. While the challenges are significant—rooted in institutional structures, resource constraints, and pedagogical traditions—they are not insurmountable. The path forward requires a fundamental reimagining of institutional priorities, moving from a compliance-based model of accommodation to a culture-based commitment to universal design and social justice. By investing in and empowering the inclusive teacher, universities can truly fulfil their promise as engines of opportunity and sites of democratic learning for all.

REFERENCES

1. Black L, Rainer A, Smith H. Inclusive pedagogy in the STEM classroom: a meta-analysis of impact on student achievement. *J Further High Educ.* 2023;47(4):521–538.
2. CAST. *Universal Design for Learning guidelines version 2.2.* Wakefield (MA): Center for Applied Special Technology; 2018. Available from: <http://udlguidelines.cast.org>
3. Morina A. Inclusive education in higher education: challenges and opportunities. *Eur J Spec Needs Educ.* 2017;32(1):3–17.
4. Strayhorn TL. *College students' sense of belonging: a key to educational success for all students.* 2nd ed. New York: Routledge, 2018.

5. Burgstahler SE, editor. *Universal design in higher education: from principles to practice.* 2nd ed. Cambridge (MA): Harvard Education Press; 2015.
6. Gurin P, Dey EL, Hurtado S, Gurin G. Diversity and higher education: theory and impact on educational outcomes. *Harv Educ Rev.* 2002;72(3):330–366.
7. Hockings C. *Inclusive learning and teaching in higher education: a synthesis of research.* York (UK): Higher Education Academy; 2010.
8. Sanger CS. Inclusive pedagogy and universal design approaches for diverse learning environments. In: Sanger CS, Gleason NW, editors. *Diversity and inclusion in global higher education.* Cham: Palgrave Macmillan; 2020. p. 31–71.
9. Tobin TJ, Behling KT. *Reach everyone, teach everyone: universal design for learning in higher education.* Morgantown (WV): West Virginia University Press; 2018.

Creative Commons License

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License. This license permits users to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format for non-commercial purposes only, provided that appropriate credit is given to the original author(s) and the source. No modifications, adaptations, or derivative works are permitted.

About the Author



Shikha Choudhary is an Assistant Professor in the Department of Education (B.Ed.) at Ginni Devi Modi Institute of Education, Modinagar, Uttar Pradesh, India. Her academic interests include teacher education, pedagogy, curriculum studies, and educational policy, with a focus on improving quality and effectiveness in higher education.