Indian Journal of Modern Research and Reviews

This Journal is a member of the *'Committee on Publication Ethics'*

Online ISSN:2584-184X

Research Article

Some Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Functions

Naveen Kumar Srivastava

Department of Mathematics, St. Andrew's College, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: *Naveen Kumar Srivastava

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19508805

Abstract

The object of this paper is to introduce a new concept of lacunary strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function and examine some properties of the resulting sequence spaces. We establish some elementary connections between lacunary strong convergence and lacunary strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function which satisfies Δ_2-condition. It is also shown that if a sequence is lacunary strongly convergent with respect to an Orlicz function, then it is lacunary statistically convergent. In addition, lacunary strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function is compared to other summability methods.

Manuscript Information

- **ISSN No:** 2584-184X
- **Received:** 06-01-2026
- **Accepted:** 23-02-2026
- **Published:** 31-03-2026
- **MRR:**4(3); 2026: 425-435

- **Plagiarism Checked:** Yes
- **Peer Review Process:** Yes

How to Cite this Article

Srivastava N K. Some Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Functions. Indian J Mod Res Rev. 2026;4(3):425-435.

Access this Article Online

www.multiarticlesjournal.com

KEYWORDS: Lacunary sequence, strong convergence, statistical convergence, sequence space, Orlicz function, Δ_2-condition.

INTRODUCTION

By a lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$; r = 0, 1, 2, where $k_0 = 0$, we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty$. The intervals determined by θ will be denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1}, k_r]$, and we let $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$. The ratio k_r/k_{r-1} will be denoted by q_r. $\lambda = (\lambda_k)$ and $\mu = (\mu_k)$ be sequences of non-zero complex numbers. The space lacunary strongly convergent sequences N_θ were defined by Freedman et al. [5] as follows:

$$N_\theta = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{r\to\infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} |\lambda_k x_k - \ell| = 0 \text{ for some } \ell \right\}.$$

The space N_θ is a BK-space with the norm

$$\|x\|_\theta = \sup_r \left(h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} |\lambda_k x_k| \right).$$

N_θ^0 denotes the subset of those sequences in N_θ for which $\ell = 0$. (N_θ^0, $\|.\|_\theta$) is also a BK-space. There is a strong connection [5] between N_θ and the space ω of strongly Cesáro summable sequences, which is defined by

$$\omega = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |\lambda_k x_k - \ell| = 0 \text{ for some } \ell \right\}.$$

In the special case where $\theta = (2^r)$, we have $N_\theta = \omega$.

Recall [9]–[12] that an Orlicz function M is a continuous, convex, non-decreasing function defined for $x \geq 0$ such that M(0) = 0 and M(x) > 0 for x > 0. Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [12] used the idea of an Orlicz function to construct the sequence space

$$\ell_m = \{x = (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right) < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

The space ℓ_M with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a Banach space, which *is* called an Orlicz sequence space. Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri proved that every Orlicz sequence space M contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p for some p ≥ 1, thereby answering a general conjecture that every infinite-dimensional Banach space contains a closed subspace isomorphic to c_0 or some ℓ_p, positively for a class of spaces (see [11] and [18] for discussion of this and related conjectures). For *M*(x) = x2;
For 1 < p < ∞, the spaces M coincide with the classical sequence spaces lp.
Recently, Parashar and Choudhary [20] have introduced and examined some properties of four sequence spaces defined by using an Orlicz function M, which generalises the well-known Orlicz sequence space ℓ_M and strongly summable sequence spaces [C, 1, p], $[C, 1, p]_0$ and $[C, 1, p]_\infty$. It may be noted here that the spaces of strongly summable sequences were discussed by Maddox [14]. Nuray and Gülcü [19], Demirci [3] and others have also used an Orlicz function to construct some sequence spaces.
In the present paper, we introduce a new concept of lacunary strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function and examine some properties of the resulting spaces. We establish some elementary connections between lacunary strong convergence and

lacunary strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function which satisfies the Δ_2-condition. It is shown that if a sequence is lacunary strongly convergent with respect to an Orlicz function, then it is lacunary statistically convergent. Also, lacunary strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function is compared to other summability methods.

We now introduce the generalisations of the spaces of lacunary strongly convergent sequences.

Definition 1.1: Let M be an Orlicz function and p = (p_k) be any sequence of strictly positive real numbers. We define the following sequence spaces.

$$[N_\theta, M, p] = \left\{x = (x_k): \lim_{r\to\infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r}^{\infty} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k - \ell}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} = 0 \text{ for some } \ell, \text{ and } \rho > 0\right\},$$

$$[N_\theta, M, p]_0 = \left\{x = (x_k): \lim_{r\to\infty} h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r}^{\infty} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} = 0 \text{ for some } \rho > 0\right\},$$

$$[N_\theta, M, p]_0 = \left\{x = (x_k): \sup_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r}^{\infty} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0\right\}.$$

We denote [N_θ, M, *p*], [N_θ, M, p]$_0$ and [N_θ, M, p]$_\infty$ as [N_θ, M], [N_θ, M]$_0$ and [N_θ, M]$_\infty$ when P_k = 1 for all k. If x $\in$ [N_θ, M] we say that x is lacunary strongly convergent with respect to the Orlicz function M.

Some well-known spaces are obtained by specialising. θ, M and p.

I. If M(x) = x, p_k = 1 for all k, then [N_θ, M, p] = N_θ, [N_θ, M, p]$_0$ = N_θ^0 (Freedman et al. [5]).

II. If M(x) = x, θ = (2^r), then [N_θ, M, p] = [C, 1, p], [N_θ, M, p]$_0$ = [C, 1, p]$_0$, [N_θ, M, p]$_\infty$ = [C, 1, p]$_\infty$ (Maddox [14]).

III. If M(x) = x, p_k = 1 for all k, θ = (2^r) then [N_θ, M, p] = ω, [N_θ, M, p]$_0$= ω_0, $[N_\theta, M, p]_\infty$ = ω_∞ (Freedman et al. [5], Maddox [14], [15], [17]).

IV. If θ = (2^r) then [N_θ, M, p] = W(M, p), [N_θ, M, p]$_0$ = W_0(M, p), $[N_\theta, M, p]_\infty$ = W_∞ (M, p) (Parashar and Choudhary [20]).

2. Linear topological structure of [Ne, M, *p*] spaces and inclusion theorems

In this section, we examine some topological properties of [N_θ, M, p] spaces and investigate some inclusion relations between these spaces.

Theorem 2.1: For any Orlicz function M and a bounded sequence p = (p_k) of strictly positive real numbers, [N_θ, M, p], [N_θ, M, p]$_0$ and $[N_\theta, M, p]_\infty$ are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers.

Proof: We shall prove only for [N_θ, M, p]$_0$. The others can be treated similarly. Let x, y $\in$ [N_θ, M, p]$_0$ and α, β $\in$ C. To Prove the result we need to find some ρ_3 > 0 such that $\lim_r h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} \left[M\left(\frac{\alpha\lambda_k x_k + \beta\lambda_k x_k}{\rho_3}\right)\right]^{p_k}$ = 0.

Since x, y $\in$ [N_θ, M, p]$_0$, there exists a positive ρ_1 and ρ_2 such that

$$\lim_r h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho_1}\right)\right]^{p_k} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_r h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k y_k|}{\rho_1}\right)\right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

Define $\rho_3 = \max(2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2)$. Since M is non-decreasing and convex,

$$h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\alpha\lambda_k x_k + \beta\mu_k y_k|}{\rho_3}\right)\right]^{p_k} \le h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\alpha\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho_3} + \frac{|\beta\mu_k y_k|}{\rho_3}\right)\right]^{p_k}$$

$$\le h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\frac{1}{2^{p_k}}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho_1}\right) + M\left(\frac{|\mu_k y_k|}{\rho_2}\right)\right]^{p_k}$$

$$< h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho_1}\right) + M\left(\frac{|\mu_k y_k|}{\rho_2}\right)\right]^{p_k}$$

$$\le Ch_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho_1}\right)\right]^{p_k} + Ch_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\mu_k y_k|}{\rho_2}\right)\right]^{p_k} \to 0 \text{ as } r\to\infty,$$

where $C = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$, $H = \sup p_k$; so that $\alpha x + \beta y \in [N_\theta, M, p]_0$. This proves that $[N_\theta, M, p]_0$ is linear.

Theorem 2.2: For any Orlicz function M and a bounded sequence $p = (p_k)$ of strictly positive real numbers, $[N_\theta, M, p]_0$ is a topological linear space, totally paranormed by

$$g(x) = \inf\left\{\rho^{p_r/H} : \left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} \le 1,\ r = 1, 2, \ldots\right\},$$

where $H = \max(1, \sup_k P_k)$.

Proof: Clearly $g(x) = g(-x)$. By using Theorem 2.1 for a $\alpha = \beta = 1$, we get $g(x + y) \le g(x) + g(y)$. Since $M(0) = 0$, we get $\inf\{\rho^{p_r/H}\} = 0$ for $x = 0$. Conversely, suppose $g(x) = 0$, then

$$\operatorname{Inf}\left\{\rho^{p_r/H} : \left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} \le 1\right\} = 0.$$

This implies that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists some ρ_ε $(0 < \rho_\varepsilon < \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho_\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} \leq \left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho_\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} \leq 1.$$

Suppose $x_m \neq 0$ for some $m \in I_r$. Let $\varepsilon \to 0$, then $\left(\frac{|\lambda_m x_m|}{\varepsilon}\right) \to \infty$. It follows that

$$\left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho_\varepsilon}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} \to \infty$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $x_m = 0$ for each m. Finally, we prove that scalar multiplication is continuous. Let λ be any complex number. By definition,

$$g(\xi_x) = \inf\left\{\rho^{p_r/H} : \left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} \leq 1,\ r = 1, 2, \ldots\right\}.$$

Then

$$g(\xi_x) = \inf\left\{(|\lambda| s)^{p_r/H} : \left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{s}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} \leq 1,\ r = 1, 2, \ldots\right\}.$$

where $s = \rho/|\xi|$. Since $|\lambda|^{p_r} \leq \max(1, |\lambda|^{\sup p_r})$, **we** have

$$g(\xi_x) \leq \left(\max\left(1, |\xi|^{\sup p_r}\right)\right)^{1/H} \inf\left\{s^{p_r/H} : \left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{s}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} \leq 1,\ r = 1, 2, \ldots\right\}$$

which converges to zero as x converges to zero in $[N_\theta, M, p]_0$.

Now suppose $\xi_n \to 0$ and x is fixed in $[N_\theta, M, p]_0$. For arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, let **N** be a positive integer such that

$$h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} < (\varepsilon/2)^H \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and all } r > N.$$

This implies that

$$\left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and all } r > N.$$

Let $0 < |\xi| < 1$, using convexity of M, for r > N, we get

$$h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\xi\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} < h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[|\lambda| M\left(\frac{|\xi\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} < (\varepsilon/2)^H.$$

Since M is continuous everywhere in $[0, \infty)$, then for $r \le N$,

$$f(t) = h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|m\lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}$$

is continuous at 0. So there is $1 > \delta > 0$ such that $|f(t)| < (\varepsilon/2)^H$ for $0 < t < \delta$. Let K be such that. $|\lambda_n| < \delta$ for n > K, then for n > K and $r \le N$,

$$\left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_n\xi_n \lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Thus

$$\left(h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_n\xi_n \lambda_k x_k|}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right)^{1/H} < \varepsilon$$

for n > K and all r, so that $g(\xi x) \to 0$ $(\xi \to 0)$.

Definition 2.3 [10]: An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy Δ_2-condition for all values of u, if there exists a constant K > 0 such that $M(2u) \le KM(u), u \ge 0$.

It is easy to see that always K > 2. The Δ_2-condition is equivalent to the satisfaction of inequality $M(\ell u) \le K(\ell)M(u)$ for all values of u and for $\ell > 1$.

Lemma 2.4: Let M be an Orlicz function that satisfies Δ_2-condition and let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then for each $x \ge \delta$ we have $M(x) < Kx\delta^{-1}M(2)$ for some constant K > 0.

Proof: Since M is non-decreasing and convex, and $x < \delta^{-1}x < 1 + \delta^{-1}x$ for $x \ge \delta$, it follows that $M(x) < M(1 + \delta^{-1}x) = M(\frac{1}{2}.2 + \frac{1}{2}.2\delta^{-1}x) < \frac{1}{2}M(2) + \frac{1}{2}M(2\delta^{-1}x)$. Since M satisfies Δ_2-condition, there is a constant K > 2 such that $\mathbf{M}(2\delta^{-1}x) \le \frac{1}{2}K\delta^{-1}x\, M(2)$, therefore $M(x) < \frac{1}{2}K\delta^{-1}x\, M(2) + \frac{1}{2}K\delta^{-1}x\, M(2) = K\delta^{-1}x\, M(2)$ and hence the lemma.

Theorem 2.5: For any Orlicz function M that satisfies Δ_2-condition, we have $N_\theta \subseteq [N_\theta, M)$.

Proof: Let x $\in N_\theta$ so that

$$A_r \equiv h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} |\lambda_k x_k - \ell| \to 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty, \text{ for some } \ell.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that $M(t) < \varepsilon$ for $0 \le t \le \delta$. We can write

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} |\lambda_k x_k - \ell| = h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r, |x_k - \ell| > \delta} (|\lambda_k x_k - \ell|) + h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r, |x_k - \ell| > \delta} (|\lambda_k x_k - \ell|) < h_r^{-1} (h_r \varepsilon) + h_r^{-1} K \delta^{-1} M(2)$$

$h_r A_r$, by Lemma 2.4. Letting $r \to \infty$, it follows that x $\in [N_\theta, M]$.

The method of the proof of Theorem 2.5 shows that, for any Orlicz function M that satisfies. Δ_2-condition, we have $N_\theta^\infty \subseteq [N_\theta, M]_0$ and $N_\theta^\infty \subseteq [N_\theta, M]_\infty$.

Theorem 2.6: Let $0 < P_k \le q_k$ and (q_k/P_k) be bounded. Then $[N_\theta, M, q] \subseteq [N_\theta, M, p]$.

Proof: Let x $\in [N_\theta, M, q]$. We write $w_k = \left[M\left(\frac{(|\lambda_k x_k - \ell|)}{\rho}\right)\right]^{q_k}$, $\frac{p_k}{q_k} = \xi_k$, so that $0 < \xi < \xi_k \le 1$, with ξ constant. Now define $u_k = w_k(w_k \ge 1)$, $u_k = 0(w_k < 1)$, $v_k = 0(w_k \ge 1)$, $v_k = w_k(w_k < 1)$, so that $w_k = u_k + v_k$, $w_k^{\lambda_k} = u_k^{\lambda_k} + v_k^{\lambda_k}$. It follows that

$$u_k^{\lambda_k} \le u_k \le w_k \text{ and } v_k^{\xi_k} \le v_k^{\lambda}. \text{ Therefore } h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} w_k^{\lambda_k} \le h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} v_k^{\lambda}.$$

Now, for each r,

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} w_k^{\xi} = \sum_{k\in I_r} \left(h_r^{-1} v_k\right)^{\xi} \left(h_r^{-1}\right)^{1-\xi}$$

$$\le \left(\sum_{k\in I_r} \left[\left(h_r^{-1} v_k\right)^{\xi}\right]^{1/\xi}\right)^{\xi} \left(\sum_{k\in I_r} \left[\left(h_r^{-1}\right)^{1-\xi}\right]^{1/1-\xi}\right)^{1-\xi},$$

by Hölder's inequality

$$= \left(h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} v_k\right)^{\xi}$$

and so,

$$h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} w_k^{\xi_k} \le h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} w_k + \left[h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} v_k\right]^{\xi_k}$$

and hence x $\in [N_\theta, M, p]$.

3. Comparison with other summability methods

In this section, lacunary strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function is compared to lacunary statistical convergence and other summability methods.

We first study the inclusions [w, M, p] $\subset$ [N_θ, M, p] and [N_θ, M, p] $\subset$ [w, M,p] under certain restrictions on θ = (k_r).

Lemma 3.1 : Let θ = (k_r) be a lacunary sequence with lim $\inf_r q_r > 1$, then for any Orlicz function M, [w, M, p] $\subset$ [N_θ, M, p], where

$$[w, M, p] = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k - \ell|}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0 \text{ for some } \ell, \text{ and } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

(we write [w, M, p] = $[w, M, p]_0$ in the case when ℓ = 0).

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $[w, M, p]_0 \subset [N_\theta, M, p]_0$; the general inclusion follows by linearity. Suppose lim $\inf_r q_r > 1$, then there exists. $\delta > 0$ such that $q_r = (k_r/k_{r-1}) \geq 1 + \delta$ for all $r \geq 1$. Then for $x \in [w, M, p]_0$, we write

$$A_r \equiv h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_r} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

$$= h_r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} - h_r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

$$= \frac{k_r}{h_r} \left(k_r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right) - \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \left(k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right).$$

Since $h_r = k_r - k_{r-1}$, we have

$$\frac{k_r}{h_r} \leq \frac{1+\delta}{\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k_{r-1}}{h_r} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

The terms

$$k_r^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_r} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} \quad \text{and} \quad k_{r-1}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{r-1}} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k}$$

Both converge to zero, and it follows that A_r converges to 0 as $r \to \infty$, that is, $x \in [N_\theta, M, p]_0$.

Lemma 3.2 : Let θ = (k_r) be a lacunary sequence with lim $\sup_r q_r < \infty$, then for any Orlicz function M, [N_θ, M, p] $\subset$ [w, M, p].

Proof: If lim $\sup_r q_r < \infty$, there exists B > 0 such that q_r < B for all r $\geq$ 1. Let $x \in [N_\theta, M, p]_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists R > **0** such that for every j $\geq$ R

$$A_j = h_r^{-1} \sum_{k\in I_j} \left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho} \right) \right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon.$$

We can also find K > 0 such that A_j < K for all j = 1, 2, ... Now let me be any integer with $k_{r-1} < m < k_r$, where r > R. Then

$$m^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} \leq k_{r-1}^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{k_r}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}$$

$$= k_{r-1}^{-1}\left\{\sum_{k\in I_1}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} + \sum_{k\in I_2}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} + \ldots \sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} k_1^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_1}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} + \frac{k_2-k_1}{k_{r-1}} (k_2-k_1)^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_2}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} + \ldots$$

$$+ \frac{k_R-k_{R-1}}{k_{r-1}} (k_R-k_{R-1})^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_R}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} + \ldots$$

$$+ \frac{k_r-k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} (k_r-k_{r-1})^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k}$$

$$= \frac{k_1}{k_{r-1}} A_1 + \frac{k_2-k_1}{k_{r-1}} A_2 + \ldots + \frac{k_R-k_{R-1}}{k_{r-1}} A_R + \frac{k_{R+1}-k_R}{k_{r-1}} A_{R+1} + \ldots \frac{k_r-k_{r-1}}{k_{r-1}} A_r$$

$$\leq \left(\sup_{j\geq 1} A_j\right)\frac{k_R}{k_{r-1}} + \left(\sup_{j\geq R} A_j\right)\frac{k_r-k_R}{k_{r-1}}$$

$$< K\frac{k_R}{k_{r-1}} + \varepsilon B.$$

Since $k_{r-1} \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$, it follows that

$$m^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left[M\left(\frac{\lambda_k x_k}{\rho}\right)\right]^{p_k} \to 0$$ and, consequently, $x \in [w, M, p]_0$.

The next result follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 3.3: Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence with $1 < \liminf_r q_r \leq \limsup_r q_r < \infty$. Then for any Orlicz function M, $[w, M, p] = [N_\theta, M, p]$.

The famous space $\hat{c}$ of all almost convergent sequences was defined by Lorentz [13]. The space of a strongly almost convergent sequence $[\hat{c}]$ was introduced by Maddox [16] and also independently by Freedman et. al. [5] as follows:

$$[\hat{c}] = \left\{x = (x_i) : \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1}\sum_{i=p+1}^{p+n}|\lambda_i x_i - \ell| = 0 \text{ uniformly in } p, \text{ for some } \ell\right\}$$

For any Orlicz function M and a bounded sequence p = (Pk) of strictly positive real numbers, we extend the space $[\hat{c}]$ to $[\hat{c}, M, p]$ as defined below:

$[\hat{c}, M, p] =$

$$\left\{x=(x_k):\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n}\left[M\frac{|\lambda_k x_k-\ell|}{\rho}\right]^{p_k}=0 \text{ uniformly in } m \text{ for some } \ell, \text{ and } \rho>0\right\}$$

Note that if we take M(x) = x and p_k = 1 for all k, then [ĉ, M, p] = [ĉ].

Theorem 3.4: Let M be any Orlicz function and p = (p_k) be any bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers, then [ĉ, M, p] $\subset$ [N_θ, M, p] for every lacunary sequence θ.

Proof: Let x $\in$ [ĉ, M, p] and ε > 0. There exists a positive integer n_0, a number ℓ, and ρ > 0 such that $n^{-1}\sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n}\left[M\frac{|\lambda_k x_k-\ell|}{\rho}\right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon$ for n > n_0, m = 0, 1, 2, ... Since θ is lacunary, we can choose R > 0 such that r $\geq$ R implies $h_r > n_0$ and consequently, $A_r \equiv h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}\left[M\frac{|\lambda_k x_k-\ell|}{\rho}\right]^{p_k} < \varepsilon$. Thus x $\in$ [N_θ, M, p].

To show that [N_θ, M, p] strictly contains [ĉ, M, p], we proceed as in [5, p. 513]. We define x = (x_k) by x_k = 1 if $k_{r-1} < k \leq k_{r+1} + [\sqrt{h_r}]$ for some r and x_k = 0 otherwise. Then there are arbitrarily long strings of O's in the coordinates of x, as well as arbitrarily long strings of consecutive 1's, from which it follows that x $\notin$ [ĉ, M, p]. However, x $\in$ [N_θ, M]$_0$ since $h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r}|\lambda_k x_k| = h_r^{-1}[\sqrt{h_r}]_{M(1)} \to 0$ as $r\to\infty$ where [ ] denotes the greatest integer function.

We now introduce a natural relationship between lacunary strong convergence with respect to an Orlicz function and lacunary statistical convergence. The notion of statistical convergence was given in earlier works [1], [4], [7], [21]. Recently, Fridy and Orhan [8] introduced the concept of lacunary statistical convergence as follows:

Definition 3.5 [8]: Let θ be a lacunary sequence. Then a sequence x = (x_k) is said to be lacunary statistically convergent to a number. ℓ If for every ε > 0, $\lim h_r^{-1}|K_\theta(\varepsilon)| = 0$, where $K_\theta(\varepsilon) = \{k\in I_r : |\lambda_k x_k-\ell|\geq\varepsilon\}$ and $|K_\theta(\varepsilon)|$ denotes cardinality of $K_\theta(\varepsilon)$. The set of all lacunary statistically convergent sequences is denoted by S_θ.

We now establish an inclusion relation between [N_θ, M] and S_θ.

Theorem 3.6: For any Orlicz function M, [N_θ, M] $\subset S_\theta$.

Proof: Let x $\in$ [N_θ, M] and ε > 0. Then

$$h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r} M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k-\ell|}{\rho}\right) \geq h_r^{-1}\sum_{k\in I_r,|\lambda_k x_k-\ell|\geq\varepsilon} M\left(\frac{|\lambda_k x_k-\ell|}{\rho}\right)$$

$$> h_r^{-1}\frac{M(\varepsilon/\rho)}{|K_\theta(\varepsilon)|}$$

from which it follows that x $\in S_\theta$.

REFERENCES

1. Connor J. The statistical and strong p-Cesàro convergence of sequences. Analysis. 1988;8:47–63.
2. Connor J. On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence. Can Math Bull. 1989;32:194–198.
3. Demirci K. Strong A-summability and A-statistical convergence. Indian J Pure Appl Math. 1996;27(6):589–593.
4. Fast H. Sur la convergence statistique. Colloq Math. 1951;2:241–244.
5. Freedman AR, Sember JJ, Raphael M. Some Cesàro type summability spaces. Proc London Math Soc. 1978;37:508–520.
6. Freedman AR, Sember JJ. Densities and summability. Pacific J Math. 1981;95:293–305.
7. Fridy JA. On statistical convergence. Analysis. 1985;5:301–313.
8. Fridy JA, Orhan C. Lacunary statistical convergence. Pacific J Math. 1993;160:43–51.
9. Kamthan PK, Gupta M. Sequence Spaces and Series. New York: Marcel Dekker, 1981.
10. Krasnoselskii MA, Rutitsky YB. Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces. Groningen: Netherlands; 1961.
11. Lindenstrauss J. Some aspects of the theory of Banach spaces. Adv Math. 1970;5:159–180.
12. Lindenstrauss J, Tzafriri L. On Orlicz sequence spaces. Israel J Math. 1971;10(3):379–390.
13. Lorentz GG. A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. Acta Math. 1948;80:167–190.
14. Maddox IJ. Spaces of strongly summable sequences. Q J Math Oxford. 1967;18:345–355.
15. Maddox IJ. Elements of Functional Analysis. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1970.
16. Maddox IJ. A new type of convergence. Math Proc Camb Philos Soc. 1978;83:61–64.
17. Maddox IJ. Sequence spaces defined by a modulus. Math Proc Camb Philos Soc. 1986;100:161–166.
18. Milman VD. Geometric theory of Banach spaces I. Russian Math Surveys. 1970;25:111–170.
19. Nuray F, Gülcü A. Some new sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. Indian J Pure Appl Math. 1995;26(12):1169–1176.
20. Parashar SD, Choudhary B. Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions. Indian J Pure Appl Math. 1994;25(4):419–428.
21. Schoenberg IS. The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods. Am Math Mon. 1959;66:361–375.

About the corresponding author

Naveen Kumar Srivastava is associated with the Department of Mathematics at St. Andrew's College, Gorakhpur, Uttar Pradesh, India. He is engaged in teaching and academic activities, with interests in mathematical research and higher education. He contributes to student learning and promotes analytical thinking and problem-solving skills in mathematics.