

Indian Journal of Modern Research and Reviews

This Journal is a member of the '*Committee on Publication Ethics*'

Online ISSN:2584-184X



Research Article

An Empirical Assessment of GST Implementation on Small Business Operations in India: Operational Dynamics, Compliance Costs, and Systemic Perceptions

Bhagyalakshmi J ^{1*}, Teena Thomas ²

^{1,2} Assistant Professor, Department of B. Com CA, Sri Krishna Adithya College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author: * Bhagyalakshmi J

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22642820>

Abstract

The Goods and Services Tax (GST), introduced in India on July 1, 2017, represents a historic consolidation of the country's indirect tax framework. Small enterprises—a fundamental pillar of national economic growth—faced structural disruptions during this transition. This study evaluates the empirical impact of GST on small businesses using a descriptive and analytical research methodology. Primary data were gathered via a structured instrument administered to N=100 small business operators across urban and semi-urban hubs. The data were evaluated using percentage distributions and non-parametric Chi-Square tests of independence. Results indicate that while 96% of respondents acknowledge systemic simplification and 80% express overall regime satisfaction, significant operational frictions persist: 84% report elevated operational costs, 82% rely on third-party accounting professionals for compliance, and 84% experience recurrent portal-related technical bottlenecks. Strategic recommendations focus on compliance architecture simplification, technological upgrades to the core network, and localized capacity-building initiatives.

Manuscript Information

- **ISSN No:** 2584-184X
- **Received:** 12-07-2026
- **Accepted:** 30-08-2026
- **Published:** 07-09-2026
- **MRR:4(9); 2026:** 56-60
- **©2026, All Rights Reserved**
- **Plagiarism Checked:** Yes
- **Peer Review Process:** Yes

How to Cite this Article

Bhagyalakshmi J, Thomas T. An Empirical Assessment of GST Implementation on Small Business Operations in India: Operational Dynamics, Compliance Costs, And Systemic Perceptions. Indian J Mod Res Rev. 2026;4(9):56-60.

Access this Article Online



www.mrrjournal.in

KEYWORDS: Goods and Services Tax, Small Business Operations, Compliance Cost, Indirect Tax Reform, Input Tax Credit, MSME Sector.

1. INTRODUCTION

The introduction of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) on July 1, 2017, marked a monumental shift in Indian fiscal policy, subsuming a fragmented network of central and state indirect levies—such as Central Excise, Service Tax, State VAT, and Entry Tax—into a unified destination-based framework. The structural imperative was to eliminate tax cascading, standardize rate schedules, and streamline cross-border supply chains.

Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) drive Indian economic development, generating over 110 million jobs and contributing approximately 30% to national GDP and 45% to total exports. Operating with constrained capital buffers and minimal administrative staff, these enterprises faced immediate operational shifts under the digital-first GST model.

While the regime delivered structural benefits—such as uniform tax rates, seamless Input Tax Credit (ITC) mechanisms, and the elimination of physical border checkpoints—it introduced operational demands. Small business owners face frequent filing schedules, invoice-matching requirements, dynamic portal interfaces, and ongoing professional advisory costs. This study analyzes these ground-level operational realities to offer actionable insights for policy refinement.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The theoretical and empirical literature tracking India's tax reform highlights a structural tension between macro-efficiency gains and micro-level compliance costs:

- **Macro-Fiscal Dynamics & Early Transitions:** Jaitley (2016) emphasized the reform's potential to establish a single national market, while noting short-term transitional friction for small taxpayers. Poonam (2017) observed that despite conceptual simplification, small operators struggled with procedural digitization. Ghosh (2018) highlighted that initial supply-chain adjustments temporarily constrained MSME cash flows.
- **Compliance & Cost Structure Analyses:** Agarwal (2019) demonstrated that improved auditability coincided with higher administrative burdens. Singh and Sharma (2020) confirmed that while formalization accelerated, fixed operational costs grew significantly. Patel (2022) documented increased documentation workloads alongside enhanced operational transparency.
- **Digital Infrastructure & Financial Pressures:** Kumar (2021) identified training deficits as a primary operational barrier. Reddy (2023) argued that the digital tax ecosystem exposed structural gaps in digital literacy. Sharma and Gupta (2024) noted profit margin compression driven by recurring compliance fees. Verma (2025) and Khan (2026) stressed ongoing working capital lock-ups tied to credit reconciliation cycles.

3. THEORETICAL & CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

3.1 Constitutional and Structural Foundations

The legal authority for the GST regime stems from the Constitution (101st Amendment) Act, 2016, which introduced Articles 246A, 269A, and 279A. Article 279A established the GST Council—a joint federal forum consisting of the Union Finance Minister and State Finance Ministers—to decide on rates, exemptions, and procedural rules.

The system operates on a dual structure to maintain federal fiscal balance:

- **Central GST (CGST) & State GST (SGST):** Levied concurrently on intra-state supplies.
- **Integrated GST (IGST):** Applied to inter-state supplies and imports, collected by the Centre and apportioned to the consuming state.

The system uses five main tax brackets: 0%, 5%, 12%, 18%, and 28%. Essential items are tax-exempt or placed in low brackets, while luxury and demerit items face the highest rates and additional compensation cesses.

The Input Tax Credit (ITC) mechanism prevents tax cascading by allowing businesses to offset purchase taxes against sales tax liabilities. For small enterprises with annual turnover up to ₹1.5 crore, the Composition Scheme offers a flat-rate tax without detailed invoicing, though it restricts inter-state trade and ITC eligibility. The basic registration threshold stands at ₹40 lakh for goods and ₹20 lakh for services.

3.2 Economic Theories of Tax Compliance

This study evaluates small business tax interactions through three economic lenses:

1. **Transaction Cost Economics (Williamson, 1985):** GST lowers cross-border friction by unifying market rules.
2. **Tax Neutrality (Public Finance Theory):** The ITC system prevents distorted production decisions by ensuring tax falls only on final consumption.
3. **Compliance Cost Theory (Sandford, 1989):** Fixed compliance costs disproportionately burden smaller businesses with limited revenue bases.

4. Historical Context & International Comparative Analysis

4.1 Historical Trajectory of Indian Taxation

India's indirect tax history traces through four key phases:

- **Ancient & Medieval Foundations:** Kautilya's Arthashastra outlined formal market tolls and commodity taxes, which evolved into standardized municipal levies under Mughal rule.
- **Colonial Period (1857–1947):** Revenue extraction focused on customs and excise duties, setting up distinct central and provincial taxation powers.
- **Post-Independence Era (1947–1999):** Uncoordinated expansion of central excise and state sales taxes created complex tax cascading.
- **Modern Reform Era (2000–2017):** State VAT rollout in 2005 laid the groundwork for the 2016 Constitutional Amendment and the official launch of GST on July 1, 2017.

4.2 International Comparative Context

India's multi-tier dual GST contrasts with international models:

- **Canada:** Operates a concurrent federal and provincial HST/GST structure.
- **Australia & New Zealand:** Utilize unified, single-rate VAT systems.
- **OECD Guidelines:** Recommend unified, broad-based systems. India adopted a multi-tier rate structure to protect low-income consumption patterns.

5. Current Administrative & Technological Trends

The ongoing development of India's GST framework reflects key operational trends:

- **Revenue Performance:** Monthly collections regularly surpass target benchmarks, supported by data analytics and automated audits.
- **Digital Integration:** The mandatory e-invoicing framework (extended to firms above ₹5 crore turnover) pushes real-time data directly to the Invoice Registration Portal (IRP), reducing manual filing steps.
- **Systemic Adjustments:** Ongoing reforms focus on adjusting inverted duty structures and simplifying compliance to support MSME operations.

6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study uses a descriptive and analytical design to assess

GST's operational impact on small businesses. Primary data were collected via structured questionnaires and field interviews over two to three months.

- **Sampling Design:** $N = 100$ small business operators (retailers, wholesalers, service providers, and manufacturers) selected via convenience sampling in urban and semi-urban hubs.
- **Secondary Sources:** Official reports from the CBIC, Ministry of Finance, GST Council releases, and academic literature.
- **Analytical Tools:** Percentage analysis, cross-tabulations, and Chi-Square (χ^2) tests of independence evaluated at a $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level:

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O - E)^2}{E}$$

Where O represents observed frequencies and E represents expected frequencies.

7. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

7.1 Demographic Profile

The respondent cohort consists of diverse business roles, educational backgrounds, and gender profiles.

Demographic Variable	Sub-Category	Absolute Count (N=100)	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	74	74%
	Female	26	26%
Educational Attainment	Undergraduate	52	52%
	Diploma	24	24%
	School Level	22	22%
	Postgraduate	2	2%
Primary Economic Role	Student / Learner	62	62%
	Business Owner	22	22%
	Employee	16	16%

7.2 Systemic Familiarity and Filing Mechanisms

General familiarity with basic GST concepts is high, but specific operational knowledge remains limited.

Operational Metric	Level / Category	Frequency (N=100)	Percentage (%)
GST Concept Familiarity	Very Familiar	20	20%
	Familiar	56	56%
	Slightly Familiar	20	20%
	Not Familiar	4	4%
Return Filing Method	Retained Accountant	52	52%
	Independent Self-Filing	26	26%
	Tax Consultant	14	14%
	Other Channels	8	8%

56% of respondents registered within the first year of rollout, and 20% registered immediately. While 74% rate return filing as "easy" or "very easy," 82% rely on third-party professionals.

This indicates that perceived ease is often managed through hired accounting support rather than direct system usability.

7.3 Perceptions of Systemic Impact

Operational Dimension	Agree / Strongly Agree (%)	Disagree / Strongly Disagree (%)
Systemic Tax Simplification	96%	4%

Improved Business Transparency	82%	18%
Increased Operational Costs	84%	16%
Enhanced Business Efficiency	84%	16%
Complicated Filing Process	82%	18%
Increased Administrative Workload	90%	10%
Increased Accounting Expenditures	84%	16%
Overall Net Business Benefit	84%	16%
Expanded Inter-State Opportunities	84%	16%
Requirement for Systemic Refinement	92%	8%

7.4 Technological Bottlenecks & Overall Satisfaction

84% of surveyed respondents experience GSTN portal disruptions during peak filing windows.

Portal Friction Frequency Distribution (N=100)

Frequently [28%]
Sometimes [56%]
Rarely [10%]
Never [6%]

Portal Friction Frequency	Frequency (N=100)	Percentage (%)
Frequently	28	28%
Sometimes	56	56%
Rarely	10	10%
Never	6	6%

Despite operational challenges, 80% express overall regime satisfaction (24% highly satisfied; 56% satisfied), while 20% remain dissatisfied. Furthermore, 92% stress the need for ongoing administrative and technical improvements.

Overall Satisfaction Breakdown (N=100)

Highly Satisfied [24%]
Satisfied [56%]
Dissatisfied [20%]

8. Strategic Policy Recommendations

To balance tax administration goals with small business viability, policy frameworks should prioritize five targeted interventions:

Compliance Architecture Simplification: Introduce simplified annual filing workflows for micro-enterprises below threshold levels and expand Composition Scheme eligibility.

- Infrastructure Upgrades:** Expand GSTN server bandwidth during deadlines, improve mobile interfaces, and add real-time credit reconciliation tools.
- Grassroots Capacity Building:** Partner with regional trade associations to offer vernacular training workshops for non-urban business owners.
- Automated ITC Fast-Tracking:** Set up algorithm-driven refund processing with explicit turnaround guarantees to protect working capital.
- Institutional Support Systems:** Expand GST Seva Kendras to provide free filing assistance, reducing reliance on third-party accounting services.

9. CONCLUSION

This empirical study demonstrates that India's GST reform has established a transparent, unified indirect tax framework, removed tax cascading, and expanded inter-state market access.

However, operational challenges remain. Small enterprises face recurring administrative demands, technical portal bottlenecks, and higher professional compliance costs. Addressing these frictions through technology upgrades, simplified filing processes, and direct administrative support will help protect small business growth while strengthening the national tax base.

REFERENCES

- Agarwal R. Compliance burden under GST: a study of small and medium enterprises. *Indian J Finance*. 2019;13(4):22-35.
- Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs (CBIC). GST statistical report. New Delhi: CBIC Publications; 2024.
- Economic Times. GST impact on small business sector. 2024. Available from: [Economic Times](https://economictimes.com)
- Government of India, Ministry of Finance. Annual report on Goods and Services Tax 2024-25 [Internet]. New Delhi: Government of India; 2025. Available from: [GST Portal](https://gst.gov.in)
- Jaitley A. Goods and services tax: building a unified national market. Speeches in Parliament, Union Budget Releases. New Delhi; 2016.
- Kelkar Task Force on Indirect Taxes. Report on indirect taxes. New Delhi: Ministry of Finance, Government of India; 2003.
- Khan M. Formalisation, competitiveness, and cash flow: GST's legacy for Indian SMEs. *Indian Econ Rev*. 2026;61(1):1-18.
- Kumar A, Sharma R. Impact of GST on small businesses in India. *J Commerce Manag Stud*. 2023;10(2):45-60.
- OECD. Consumption tax trends 2024: GST and VAT systems. Paris: OECD Publishing; 2024.
- Patel S. GST, transparency, and MSME compliance: an empirical study. *J Tax Bus Res*. 2022;8(2):33-49.
- Poonam. Goods and services tax in India: an overview. *Int J Adv Res*. 2017;5(2):112-118.

12. Reddy N. Digital taxation and small business: lessons from India's GST. *South Asian J Bus Stud.* 2023;12(2):88-103.
13. Reserve Bank of India. Report on trends and progress of banking in India. Mumbai: RBI Publications; 2024.
14. Sahu P, Gupta S. GST implementation and taxpayer compliance in India. *Int J Account Finance.* 2023;9(3):70-85.
15. Sandford C. Administrative and compliance costs of taxation. Bath: Fiscal Publications; 1989.
16. Sharma N, Gupta A. GST, profit margins, and the small business challenge. *J Financial Account Res.* 2024;7(3):45-61.
17. Singh P, Sharma K. Operational challenges faced by MSMEs under GST regime. *J Tax Bus Res.* 2020;5(1):11-26.
18. Verma R. Long-term benefits of GST for Indian MSMEs: a reassessment. *Indian J Econ Finance.* 2025;18(1):55-72.
19. Williamson OE. The economic institutions of capitalism. New York: Free Press; 1985.

Creative Commons License

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution–Non-commercial–No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License. This license permits users to copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format for non-commercial purposes only, provided that appropriate credit is given to the original author(s) and the source. No modifications, adaptations, or derivative works are permitted.

About the Corresponding Author



Bhagyalakshmi J is an Assistant Professor in the Department of B. Com CA at Sri Krishna Adithya College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India. Her academic interests include commerce, computer applications, business studies, and related areas of higher education.